Case: 1:10-cv-00331 Document #: 312 Filed: 12/14/10 Page 1 of 8 PageID #:2324

(Proceedings had in open court:) 1 THE CLERK: 10 C 331, United Central Bank versus Kanan 2 3 Fashions. MS. DEDINAS: Good morning, your Honor. Vilia Dedinas 4 5 on behalf of United Central Bank. THE COURT: Good morning. 6 7 MR. BORLACK: Alan Borlack on behalf of the 8 defendants, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Good morning. Anything else you want to tell me other than what you 10 11 got in your joint strategy report? MR. BORLACK: Well, Judge, I would like a couple 12 13 minutes if I can to explain some of the problems I'm having. 14 The -- the TRO was denied. And the issue is the expedited discovery with respect to the collateral, which it makes sense. 15 My problem is, my clients' business has pretty much 16 collapsed. The -- the big business, which was a \$45 million 17 business, the fashion business, is defunct. We have inventory 18 19 in a warehouse that they're welcome to come and inspect. I've already sent an e-mail saying -- three days ago saying, come 20 see it if you -- if you like. 21 My problem is, there is only two employees left. 22 There is the owner and his right-hand man. The cruise company 23 24 is the only business which still is not completely defunct.

They give -- they have a cruise. That, of course, the winter

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

months is -- will kill it for now. And I understand there is one or two employees, I think a salesperson and a ship captain.

But the problem I'm having is, my guys are not really good with the computer. There is only two guys. They're trying to get their ex-employee, who is their computer man, to get back with them so that we can start trying to get some of the e-mails that we have to produce. But my problem is is that I only have two people, Judge, one -- really only one person, to do this discovery.

Now, the issue is the disposition of the collateral. I understand and -- that counsel may want a preliminary injunction to prevent the disposition of the collateral. assured that it's not being sold. Nothing untowards is happening. And the Judge did deny the TRO. But that's my problem.

> THE COURT: That he denied the TRO?

MR. BORLACK: Yes, he did.

THE COURT: No, is that your problem?

MR. BORLACK: No, no, no, no. I -- my problem is is that, in other words, the Judge -- I say that as context. But the big problem is -- whether he did or not is, I have logistics problem. It's -- it's said in all good faith about this expedited discovery, how much we can do so rapidly as counsel would like.

May I just say one more thing, Judge?

THE COURT: Sure you can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BORLACK: We have -- we have outstanding expedited interrogatories and requests for documents and depositions. intend to have my objections on file tomorrow. I know counsel is in a rush. I can understand that.

I would suggest we have our two -- our rule conference on Monday. And perhaps if there are remaining issues that a motion to compel will need, perhaps you can give us a date next week so that we can move this, as I know counsel wants it, to get these objections satisfied and the issues resolved for the expedited discovery.

MS. DEDINAS: Your Honor, we believe that this inventory is being liquidated. The reason the TRO was denied --

THE COURT: Has anybody gone to check it out? MS. DEDINAS: Yes. In fact, the reason we brought the TRO was because there -- in their own warehouse there was a for-cash sale going on. And that was obviously very alarming to us.

At the TRO hearing itself, they brought to us a letter from a church that we've had enormously difficult ability to contact -- there is nobody there -- that says that those items were donated and they were holding a cash sale.

However, we have other information that we believe there is -- they indicated in their opposition to the TRO that 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they had moved the inventory to other warehouses, which they admitted they're not supposed to do that under the security agreement. Now they say it's back in the warehouse.

We haven't had an opportunity to inspect. We made the demands under the security agreement. And we have reason to believe that the collateral is being liquidated, and offers are being made to sell it.

And we can't prove that and get any further or renew a TRO unless we get some of the evidence.

THE COURT: When can you get in there to see this inventory? I'm talking to her.

MS. DEDINAS: I can get people in -- I have -- they have made the offer to do that, and I'm trying to get the personnel. Obviously Monday is a bank holiday. So we're trying to work on when we can have the personnel available to do that. But we're looking for -- it appears that they don't even have a inventory list of their own items. So their suggestion to us was that we make a list and provide it to them.

So if we're going to go in and audit 200,000 pieces of jeans and other items --

THE COURT: It's clothing, is that (inaudible) --MS. DEDINAS: It's clothing. Yes, it's going to take -- it's going to take a time where we have to get auditors in to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, as far as their claims that they just don't have personnel, I have two responses to that. Again, I don't have the documents to prove this. It's our information that they started operations under a new business, and perhaps that that's where all the personnel have gone.

And second of all, this really should be something that they should be taking into consideration when they tell us that they're going to file a multi-million-dollar counter-claim against us in a matter of two weeks. How are they going to proceed with that and the discovery of that if they can't answer some simple interrogatories and document requests relating to our secured inventory?

MR. BORLACK: Judge, first of all, you have to understand that the issue here is a very serious counter-claim. They froze the (inaudible) --

THE COURT: You can't have it both ways here.

MR. BORLACK: No, we're going to -- I'm not disputing discovery. It's the expedited nature, how quickly we can do it.

I'm going to adopt the joint status report THE COURT: here as far as the expedited nature of this goes, up to and including July 15. And that's it as of right now.

Now, you think you can get somebody in there next week?

> MS. DEDINAS: Yes.

```
THE COURT: So do you want to set a status the
 1
     following week?
 2
 3
              MS. DEDINAS: Yes.
              MR. BORLACK: That's discovery, expedited discovery
 4
 5
     closeoff date, Judge?
              THE COURT: Yes -- no, that's the general discovery
 6
 7
     closeoff date. The expedited discovery cutoff, according to
     what you recommended here, was March 5 for United Central and
 8
 9
    March 16 for defendants. So that's a difference there.
10
              MS. DEDINAS: Your Honor --
11
              THE COURT: You have it right in your own documents
     that you submitted.
12
13
              MS. DEDINAS: Your Honor --
14
              THE COURT: Yes.
              MS. DEDINAS: -- we asked for seven days for them to
15
16
     respond to our requests. First of all, we served them early
     this week. But I should also indicate that we gave them the
17
     courtesy of draft expedited discovery even a week prior to that
18
19
     just so they could know what was coming.
              So I don't think the seven days we're asking for is
20
     unreasonable.
21
              MR. BORLACK: I will get my objections on file, Judge.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. And do you have a date?
23
24
              THE CLERK: Status hearing February 3.
25
              THE COURT: Are these casual clothes? Is that what it
```